

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/775,645	02/05/2001	Sean Reveille Llewelyn	P.19077	8310
7590 06/19/2006			EXAMINER	
JONES, TULLAR & COOPER, P.C.			KESACK, DANIEL	
Eads Station P.O. Box 2266			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Arlington, VA 22202			3624	
			DATE MAILED: 06/19/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/775,645	LLEWELYN, SEAN REVEILLE
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dan Kesack	3624
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 ħ 2a) ⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under ħ 	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicatority documents have been received in Applicatority documents have been received.	tion No red in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) Other:	⊢ αιοπι προποαποπ (ΕπΟ+194)

DETAILED ACTION

1. Amendment filed March 27, 2006 has been entered and fully considered.

Original claims 6-9 and amended claims 1-5, 10, 11 are currently pending. The rejections are as stated below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-10 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller's article "Property Price Futures and Options" in view of Rothstein, U.S. Patent No. 5,636,117, as cited in the prior Office action.
- 4. Claim 2 remains rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller and Rothstein as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Wilton et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,519,574.

5. Applicant's arguments filed March 27, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding Applicant's argument that the index (M_i) taught by Rothstein is not based at least on the selling price per property sold or transferred during a given time period, Examiner respectfully disagrees. Applicant has admitted that the amplified index, as taught by Rothstein, is based, in part, on the average price of property sold over a given time period. Examiner respectfully points out that step (C) of the current invention, as recited in claim 1, requires "generating an index from the collected data which is based at least on the selling price per property sold or transferred during the given time period" (emphasis added), and therefore may also be based on additional information without departing from the scope of the claim.

Furthermore, Applicant argues that the index of Rothstein is unsuitable for supporting a property futures market because it is based on sales volumes. The specification of the current invention, as submitted by the Applicant, gives further insight into what is meant by "based at least on the selling price per property sold". Page 6, lines 16-18 discloses that the index of the current invention may be the median or average price for a given area. A median or average, by definition, must be based on both sales volume and selling price, and therefore it is respectfully contended that Applicant's argument is contrary to the teachings of Applicant's specification, and that

Art Unit: 3624

the index of the current invention may be based both on sales volumes and sales values, as taught by the index of Rothstein.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dan Kesack whose telephone number is 571-272-5882. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on 571-272-6747. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/775,645 Page 5

Art Unit: 3624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HÁNI M. KAZIMI PRIMARY EXAMINER